



## RESEARCH NOTE

# Bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) productivity and economics as influenced by different weed management strategies

Priyanka Bijalwan<sup>1\*</sup>, Shilpa<sup>2</sup> and Y.R. Shukla<sup>3</sup>

Received: 2 December 2022 | Revised: 22 December 2023 | Accepted: 25 December 2023

### ABSTRACT

The effect of polythene mulches raised bed planting, and naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) application was evaluated for weed control, yield, and economics of bell pepper. The combination treatment of raised bed planting, plastic mulch and NAA recorded the lowest intensity of *Oxalis latifolia* (15%), *Amaranthus* spp. (4%), *Echinochloa crus-galli* (5%), *Setaria* spp. (23%) and *Commelina benghalensis* (15%). The combination of raised bed planting, double-colored polythene mulch and NAA recorded the highest yield (38.9 t/ha). The combination of raised bed planting, black polythene mulch, and NAA had the lowest weed density (277 no/m<sup>2</sup>), less weed dry biomass (119 g/m<sup>2</sup>), and the highest weed control efficiency (66%).

**Keywords:** Bell Pepper, Weed Density, Weed Control Efficiency, Yield

Bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) is one of the most important vegetable crops. It belongs to the Solanaceae family and is a vegetable appreciated by consumers because of its pleasant, refreshing taste, attractive color, and special biochemical composition (Araceli Minerva *et al.* 2011). So, the demand for this vegetable is increasing very fast. Many challenges, such as biotic and abiotic stresses, have been known to reduce the quality and production of vegetable crops (Mennan *et al.* 2020). Weeds are one of the major biotic factors that harm production (Uljol *et al.* 2016). The weeds snatch up valuable and expensive inputs like nutrients and water that are otherwise intended for maximizing the potential yield and, also operate as alternative hosts for a variety of insect pests and diseases (Shehata *et al.* 2017). Uljol *et al.* (2016) reported that weeds cause 85 to 86 % fruit yield loss in bell peppers.

The crop productivity should be increased by developing an effective weed control strategy to limit the negative effects of weeds (El-Metwally *et al.* 2019). Manual weeding is costly, time-consuming, tedious, and causes root injury. In this context, plastic mulching and different planting techniques are good

interventions to manage weeds. Mulch reduces weeds by acting as a physical barrier, which inhibits growth, and by its effect on shading, which inhibits weed germination and seedling growth (Rajablarjani *et al.* 2014). Plastic mulches directly impact the microclimate in the area around the plant, by altering the radiation budget and reducing soil water loss. Raised bed planting systems have several advantages, including water savings of up to 30% combined with improved water use efficiency, improvements in soil physical properties, nitrogen use efficiency, better sunlight utilization, low crop-weed completion, and ultimately an increase in crop yield (Kumar *et al.* 2010). Bahadur *et al.* (2013) reported that raised bed planting, had a greater reduction in weed biomass than flat-bed planting because in raised beds the water was only delivered in the furrows, and the surrounding region was usually dry, preventing significant weed development and less weed interference with crop growth boosts yield.

The limitations of bell pepper production in open field conditions, such as flower dropping, poor fruit set, and vulnerability to viral infections, pose a severe threat to the growth of this crop. However, growth regulators may be useful in reducing bell pepper dropping and may enhance the quantity, size, and weight of the fruit (Monir 2018). Akhter *et al.* (2018) observed that Naphthalene acetic acid is effective in increasing fruit set and is also used in reducing pre-harvest fruit drop resulting in a higher number of fruits and yield. Considering the above fact, the present experiment was done to evaluate the effect of planting methods, mulching, and NAA application on weed control and the economics of bell pepper.

<sup>1\*</sup> Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, SGT University, Budhera, Gurugram, Haryana, 122505, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Vegetable Science, CSK Himachal Pradesh Agriculture University Palampur, Himachal Pradesh, 176062, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Vegetable Science, Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni Solan, Himachal Pradesh, 173230, India

\* Corresponding author email: priyankabijalwan24@gmail.com

**Table 1. Details of treatments used in the studies**

Treatment no.	Treatment code	Treatment details
T <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	Raised bed + black polythene mulch + NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting
T <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	Raised bed + black polythene mulch + no NAA application
T <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	Raised bed + double colored (silver/black) polythene mulch + NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting
T <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	Raised bed + double colored (silver/black) polythene mulch + No NAA application
T <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	Raised bed + no mulch + NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting
T <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	Raised bed + no mulch + no NAA application
T <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	Flat-bed + black polythene mulch + NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting
T <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	Flat-bed + black polythene mulch + no NAA application
T <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	Flat-bed + double colored (silver/black) polythene mulch + NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting
T <sub>10</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	Flat-bed + double colored (silver/black) polythene mulch + No NAA application
T <sub>11</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	Flat-bed + no mulch + NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting
T <sub>12</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	Flat-bed + no mulch + no NAA application

The field trial was undertaken in *Kharif* (summer) 2018 at the Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry's Vegetable Experimental Farm in Nauni, Solan (Himachal Pradesh), which is located at a height of 1270 meters above mean sea level (MSL), at 3505'N latitude and 77011'E longitude. *Solan* *Bharpur* was selected as the experimental bell pepper cultivar. The soil at the experimental location was a sandy loam with pH 6.6, organic carbon 6.43 mg/liter, available nitrogen 317.68 kg/ha, phosphorus 21.2 kg/ha, and potassium 160.0 kg/ha. Fertilizers and farmyard manure were applied by the recommended package of practices for bell pepper (RDF: 100 N: 75 P: 55 K kg/ha). The treatments consisted of the two planting methods (raised bed and flat-bed), three mulches (black polythene, silver/black polythene, and no mulch), and two growth regulation (NAA spray 15 ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting and no NAA application) (**Table 1**). These 12 treatment combinations were arranged in a factorial randomized block design with three replications. Each elevated bed had a 15 cm height and there was a 45-centimeter gap between each bed. Plots were covered with 50 (200-gauge thickness) mulches as per treatment combinations and mulches were applied one week before transplanting.

The cropping season rainfall was 752.5 mm which was received mostly in August (233.8 mm). The maximum mean temperature varied from 26.7 to 30.5°C and minimum from 13.2 to 20.4°C and the maximum relative humidity recorded was 82 % and the minimum was 44 %. At sampling time, a 1x1 m quadrat was randomly placed in each plot to evaluate weed density and dry weight of various weeds. Weed dry weight was recorded by drying the weeds at 70°C in an oven for 48 h. Weed control efficiency (%) was determined as per the standard formula. Weed intensity (%) was calculated as a specific number of weeds (**Table 2**) to the total number of weeds present

**Table 2. Different weed species were observed in the experimental field**

Monocot	Dicot	Sedges
<i>Setaria</i> spp.	<i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i>	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L.
<i>Commelina benghalensis</i>	<i>Oxalis latifolia</i>	
	<i>Amarantus</i> spp.	

in the 1 m<sup>2</sup> area of each plot and calculated as per the standard formula. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the experiment was done as per the model suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (2000).

### Effect on weeds and yield of bell pepper

The combined effect of the planting technique + mulching + NAA was significant on weeds and crop yield parameters (**Table 3**). Raised bed + black polythene mulch + NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting (T<sub>1</sub>) recorded the lowest weed density (277/m<sup>2</sup>) and was at par with Raised bed + Black polythene mulch + No NAA application (T<sub>2</sub>) (286.31/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>1</sub> recorded the lowest dry biomass of weeds (119g/m<sup>2</sup>) which was at par with T<sub>2</sub> (122g/m<sup>2</sup>), T<sub>8</sub> (127g/m<sup>2</sup>), and T<sub>7</sub> (131g/m<sup>2</sup>), respectively. Flat bed with no mulch and no NAA (T<sub>12</sub>) recorded higher weed dry biomass (349g/m<sup>2</sup>). T<sub>1</sub> recorded the highest weed control efficiency (66%) which was at par with T<sub>2</sub> (65%), T<sub>8</sub> (63%), T<sub>7</sub> (62%), T<sub>9</sub> (55%), T<sub>10</sub> (54%), T<sub>3</sub> (55%) and T<sub>4</sub> (54%), whereas, T<sub>11</sub> gave the lowest weed control efficiency (10 %). Growing crops on raised beds is more efficient than conventional methods in reducing weed infestation and lodging and producing higher yields (Fahong *et al.* 2004). Treatments having black polythene mulch recorded a minimum number of weed density and biomass and higher weed control efficiency as the mulch material prevented germination of weed seeds (Ashrafuzzaman *et al.* 2011).

T<sub>3</sub> treatment having raised bed, silver/black polythene mulch and NAA recorded the highest crop yield (38.9 t/ha), while bare soil treatment (T<sub>12</sub>) had the lowest (23.2 t/ha) yield. Raised bed planting

improves soil organic matter and physical aspects due to surface retention of residues and reduces soil compaction by restricting traffic to the furrows (Govaerts *et al.* 2007). The color of plastic mulches and seasonal weather have a considerable impact on the pepper plant’s fruit yield (Díaz-Pérez, 2010). This impact is associated with the impact of plastic mulching on the improvement of the microclimate and root zone temperature of plants which increases activities of cell expansion and cell enlargement. Furthermore, the active involvement of the enzymes in enhancing growth and development also increased crop yield (Li *et al.* 2004). The endogenous hormonal pattern of the plant is impacted by the exogenous application of growth regulators like naphthalene acetic acid, either by supplementing inadequate levels or by interacting with their synthesis, translocation, or inactivation of existing hormone levels, which increases crop yield (Singh *et al.* 2017).

**Economics of bell pepper production**

The highest gross income (₹ 5,83,0710/ha) was recorded under Raised bed + Double colored (silver/black) polythene mulch + NAA application 15 ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting (T<sub>3</sub>) followed by Flat-bed + Double colored (silver/black) polythene mulch + NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting (T<sub>9</sub>) (₹ 5,39,265/ha), whereas, it was lowest (₹ 3,48,150/ha) in T<sub>12</sub>. On the other hand, T<sub>3</sub> had the highest cost of cultivation (₹ 2,35,560/ha) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (₹ 2,30,566/ha) and lowest in T<sub>12</sub> (₹ 1,58,363/ha). The reason for increased net profit in treatment T<sub>3</sub> may be due to maximum marketable yield, healthy and better fruit size, and higher net returns as compared to other treatments which, however, recorded more B: C ratio like T<sub>5</sub> (1:53) and T<sub>11</sub> (1:50) (Table 3). Dadeech *et al.* (2018) also recorded the highest net returns while using silver mulch in watermelon. The highest net returns of ₹ 3,48,149/ha in T<sub>3</sub> may be attributed to less

**Table 3. Effect of different treatments on weed characters, yield and economics of bell pepper**

Treatment	Weed density (no./m <sup>2</sup> )	Weeds dry biomass (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Weed control efficiency (%)	Yield (kg/plot)	Yield (t/ha)	*Gross return (x10 <sup>4</sup> /ha)	Net return (x10 <sup>4</sup> /ha)	B: C ratio
T <sub>1</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	277.7±32.58 <sup>a</sup>	119.0±7.21 <sup>a</sup>	65.8 (54.20) ±2.72 <sup>a</sup>	50.8±2.75 <sup>b</sup>	35.85±1.94 <sup>b</sup>	23.02	30.75	1.34 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>2</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	286.0±31.00 <sup>a</sup>	121.7±14.57 <sup>a</sup>	64.6 (53.58) ±7.58 <sup>a</sup>	47.9±0.42 <sup>c</sup>	33.78±0.30 <sup>c</sup>	22.86	27.80	1.22 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>3</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	387.0±57.42 <sup>b</sup>	155.7±12.34 <sup>a</sup>	55.3 (48.03) ±3.55 <sup>a</sup>	55.2±0.87 <sup>a</sup>	38.91±0.62 <sup>a</sup>	23.56	34.81	1.48 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>4</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	400.0±74.05 <sup>b</sup>	159.7±10.69 <sup>a</sup>	54.1 (47.36) ±3.47 <sup>a</sup>	48.6±1.28 <sup>bc</sup>	34.27±0.91 <sup>bc</sup>	23.32	28.08	1.20 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>5</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	1063.3±52.62 <sup>c</sup>	271.3±29.37 <sup>b</sup>	21.36 (26.42) ±14.93 <sup>bc</sup>	39.8±0.87 <sup>c</sup>	28.05±0.62 <sup>c</sup>	16.61	25.46	1.53 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	1150.0±48.59 <sup>d</sup>	253.0±62.86 <sup>b</sup>	26.7 (27.15) ±23.10 <sup>b</sup>	36.0±0.87 <sup>f</sup>	25.38±0.62 <sup>f</sup>	16.43	21.61	1.32 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>7</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	321.0±6.56 <sup>ab</sup>	130.7±2.52 <sup>a</sup>	62.3 (52.16) ±3.42 <sup>a</sup>	50.0±1.11 <sup>bc</sup>	35.26±0.78 <sup>bc</sup>	22.49	30.40	1.35 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>8</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	323.3±13.65 <sup>ab</sup>	127.3±10.69 <sup>a</sup>	63.4 (52.78) ±3.47 <sup>a</sup>	44.0±0.48 <sup>d</sup>	31.01±0.34 <sup>d</sup>	22.32	24.21	1.08 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>9</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	368.3±16.44 <sup>ab</sup>	155.0±8.72 <sup>a</sup>	55.4 (48.10) ±3.97 <sup>a</sup>	51.0±1.59 <sup>b</sup>	35.95±1.12 <sup>b</sup>	23.06	30.87	1.34 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>10</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	411.0±42.44 <sup>b</sup>	159.3±5.13 <sup>a</sup>	54.1 (47.37) ±3.51 <sup>a</sup>	45.2±2.11 <sup>d</sup>	31.90±1.49 <sup>d</sup>	22.82	25.03	1.10 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>11</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	1219.3±107.64 <sup>d</sup>	313.0±10.58 <sup>c</sup>	9.9 (17.79) ±6.59 <sup>sd</sup>	37.9±0.97 <sup>ef</sup>	26.76±0.68 <sup>ef</sup>	16.03	24.11	1.50 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>12</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	1161.3±34.27 <sup>d</sup>	349.3±38.70 <sup>c</sup>	0.0 (0.00) ±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	32.9±0.24 <sup>g</sup>	23.21±0.17 <sup>g</sup>	15.84	19.00	1.20 <sup>c</sup>
LSD (p=0.05)	76.38	40.74	12.00	2.28	1.61	-	-	0.09

\*Figures in parentheses represent angular transformation

\*The gross returns were worked out based on the sale price of bell Pepper ₹ 15/-kg fixed by the University

P<sub>1</sub>: Raised bed planting method, P<sub>2</sub>: Flat-bed planting method, M<sub>1</sub>: Black polythene mulch, M<sub>2</sub>: Silver/black polythene mulch, M<sub>3</sub>: No mulch, N<sub>1</sub>: NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting, N<sub>2</sub>: No NAA application

**Table 4. Effect of different treatments on weed intensity (%)**

Treatment	<i>Oxalis latifolia</i> (%)	<i>Amaranthus</i> spp. (%)	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i> (%)	<i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> (%)	<i>Setaria</i> spp. (%)	<i>Commelina benghalensis</i> (%)
T <sub>1</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	14.7(22.5) ±0.90 <sup>a</sup>	4.2(11.8) ±0.71 <sup>a</sup>	10.1(18.50) ±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	5.2(13.20) ±0.56 <sup>a</sup>	23.1(28.72) ±0.78 <sup>a</sup>	14.8(22.64) ±0.23 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>2</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	24.4(29.0) ±14.91 <sup>b</sup>	4.7(12.5) ±0.17 <sup>ab</sup>	10.0(18.5) ±0.34 <sup>a</sup>	5.8(13.9) ±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	23.6(29.1) ±0.62 <sup>a</sup>	15.1(22.9) ±0.39 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>3</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	24.9(29.9) ±0.56 <sup>b</sup>	6.9(15.2) ±0.30 <sup>d</sup>	15.3(23.0) ±0.67 <sup>c</sup>	7.2(15.5) ±0.62 <sup>b</sup>	26.2(30.8) ±1.02 <sup>b</sup>	17.4(24.6) ±0.99 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>4</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	23.6(29.1) ±0.21 <sup>b</sup>	6.8(15.1) ±0.40 <sup>d</sup>	15.0(22.8) ±0.07 <sup>c</sup>	7.0(15.3) ±0.47 <sup>b</sup>	26.4(30.9) ±1.22 <sup>b</sup>	18.0(25.1) ±0.82 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>5</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	53.4(47.0) ±0.41 <sup>c</sup>	18.9(25.8) ±0.37 <sup>f</sup>	55.3(48.0) ±0.76 <sup>c</sup>	12.2(20.4) ±0.62 <sup>d</sup>	41.0(39.8) ±0.27 <sup>c</sup>	27.1(31.3) ±0.72 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>6</sub> (P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	54.0(47.3) ±0.14 <sup>c</sup>	19.1(25.9) ±0.15 <sup>f</sup>	55.2(48.0) ±1.66 <sup>c</sup>	12.0(20.3) ±0.53 <sup>d</sup>	41.5(40.1) ±0.76 <sup>c</sup>	27.2(31.4) ±0.37 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>7</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	15.7(23.3) ±0.42 <sup>a</sup>	5.5(13.6) ±0.81 <sup>bc</sup>	12.8(21.0) ±0.68 <sup>b</sup>	5.8(14.0) ±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	24.2(29.4) ±0.99 <sup>a</sup>	16.6(24.0) ±0.24 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>8</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	14.9(22.7) ±0.94 <sup>a</sup>	6.0(14.1) ±0.49 <sup>cd</sup>	12.8(21.0) ±0.74 <sup>b</sup>	5.6(13.7) ±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	24.0(29.3) ±0.51 <sup>a</sup>	16.9(24.2) ±0.04 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>9</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	28.2(32.1) ±0.59 <sup>b</sup>	9.4(17.8) ±0.48 <sup>e</sup>	21.8(27.8) ±1.38 <sup>d</sup>	8.2(16.6) ±0.35 <sup>c</sup>	26.6(31.1) ±0.54 <sup>b</sup>	19.9(26.5) ±0.55 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>10</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	28.5(32.2) ±0.55 <sup>b</sup>	9.6(18.0) ±0.51 <sup>e</sup>	21.8(27.6) ±1.32 <sup>d</sup>	8.2(16.6) ±0.84 <sup>c</sup>	27.0(31.3) ±0.17 <sup>b</sup>	20.1(26.7) ±0.76 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>11</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> )	63.5(52.8) ±1.98 <sup>d</sup>	21.4(27.6) ±0.90 <sup>g</sup>	63.0(52.2) ±0.72 <sup>f</sup>	13.8(21.8) ±0.44 <sup>e</sup>	47.5(43.6) ±0.76 <sup>d</sup>	32.5(34.7) ±0.76 <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>12</sub> (P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )	63.3(52.7) ±0.17 <sup>d</sup>	21.5(27.6) ±0.96 <sup>g</sup>	63.3(52.3) ±0.74 <sup>f</sup>	13.8(21.8) ±0.27 <sup>e</sup>	47.9(43.8) ±0.17 <sup>d</sup>	32.5(34.7) ±1.01 <sup>f</sup>
LSD (p=0.05)	7.58	0.57	1.06	0.84	0.83	1.00

\*Figures in parentheses represent angular transformation

P<sub>1</sub>: Raised bed planting method, P<sub>2</sub>: Flat-bed planting method, M<sub>1</sub>: Black polythene mulch, M<sub>2</sub>: Silver/black polythene mulch, M<sub>3</sub>: No mulch, N<sub>1</sub>: NAA application 15ppm at 30 and 45 days after transplanting, N<sub>2</sub>: No NAA application

expenditure on the labor involved in weeding, hoeing, and other cultural operations such as mulch-controlled weeds. Similar results on the effect of mulching on seed production of bell pepper have also been shown by Verma *et al.* (2014). Increased yield and, net returns by using raised bed technology have also been shown by Kumar *et al.* (2015) in garlic crops under irrigated conditions in Uttar Pradesh.

### Effect on weed intensity (%)

A combination of planting techniques, mulching, and NAA spray showed significant results for weed intensity (Table 4). T<sub>1</sub> recorded lower intensity of *Oxalis latifolia* (14.7%) which was at par with T<sub>8</sub> (14.9%) and T<sub>7</sub> (15.7%) while higher was noted in T<sub>11</sub> (63.50%). Similarly, lower weed intensity of *Amaranthus* spp. (4.2%), *Cyperus rotundus* (10%), *Echinochloa crus-galli* (5.2%), and *Setaria* spp. (23.1%) was observed in T<sub>1</sub> and higher in T<sub>12</sub> *i.e.*, (21.5%), (63.3%), (13.8%) and (47.9%), respectively. T<sub>1</sub> recorded less intensity of *Commelina benghalensis* (14.8%) as compared to T<sub>11</sub> (32.5%). Significantly higher weed populations in the unmulched than mulched plots could be more weed seeds spread better weed growth in the absence of mulch (Negi 2015) and the preventive effect of mulch on light penetration that acted as a physical barrier affecting the growth of most of the annual and perennial weeds (Mukherjee *et al.* 2010).

### REFERENCES

- Akhter S, Mostarin T, Khatun K, Akhter F and Parvin A. 2018. Effects of plant growth regulators on yield, and economic benefit of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *The Agriculturists* 16: 58–64.
- Araceli Minerva VG, Chávez-Servia JL, Carrillo-Rodríguez JC and Mercedes G López. 2011. Phytochemical Evaluation of Wild and Cultivated Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L. and *C. pubescens* Ruiz and Pav.) From Oaxaca, Mexico, Chilean. *Journal Of Agricultural Research* 71: 578–585.
- Ashrafuzzaman M, Halim MA, Ismail MZ, Shahidullah SM and Hossain A. 2011. Effect of plastic mulch on growth and yield of Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L). *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology* 54: 321–330.
- Bahadur A, Singh AK and Singh KP. 2013. Effect of planting systems and mulching on soil hydrothermal regime, plant physiology, yield, and water use efficiency in tomato. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 70: 48–53.
- Dadheech S, Ramawtar and Yadav CM. 2018. Impact of mulching material on growth, yield and quality of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 7: 2774–2782.
- Díaz-Pérez JC. 2010. Bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L) grown on plastic film mulches: Effects on crop microenvironment, physiological attributes, and fruit yield. *HortScience* 45: 1196–204.
- El-Metwally IM and El-Wakeel MA. 2019. Comparison of safe weed control methods with chemical herbicide in potato field. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre* 43: 16.
- Fahong W, Xuqing W and Sayre K. 2004. Comparison of conventional flood irrigated, flat planting with furrow irrigated, raised bed planting for winter wheat in China. *Field Crops Research* 87: 35–42.
- Govaerts B, Sayre KD, Lichter K, Dendooven L and Deckers J. 2007. Influence of permanent raised bed planting and residue management on physical and chemical soil quality in rainfed maize/wheat systems. *Plant and Soil* 291: 39–54.
- Kumar A, Sharma KD and Yadav A. 2010. Enhancing yield and water productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) through furrow irrigated raised bed system in the Indo-Gangetic plains of India. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Science* 80: 198–202.
- Kumar A, Singh B, Kumar S and Kumar P. 2015. Furrow irrigated raised bed (FIRB) plant technique for improving yield and profitability of garlic crop. *Annals of Horticulture* 8: 61–64.
- Li FM, Wang J, Xu JZ and Xu HL. 2004. Productivity and soil response to plastic film mulching durations for spring wheat on entisols in the semiarid Loess Plateau of China. *Soil Tillage Research* 78: 9–20.
- Mennan H, Jabran K, Zandstra BH and Pala F. 2020. Non-chemical weed management in vegetables by using cover crops: A review. *Agronomy*. 10: 257. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10020257>.
- Monir Md R. 2018. Growth and yield response of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) to the application of Kaolin and 4-CPA under net protected condition. *Journal of Experimental Biosciences* 9: 9–16.
- Mukherjee A, Kundu M and Sarkar S. 2010. Role of irrigation and mulch on yield, evapotranspiration rate and water use pattern of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.). *Agricultural Water Management* 98: 182–189.
- Negi P. 2015. Effect of Orchard Floor Management Practices on Growth, Cropping and Quality of Nectarine [*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch var. Nucipersica] cv. Snow Queen. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Fruit Science. Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan.
- Panse VG and Sukhatme PV. 2000. *Statistical Methods For Agricultural Workers*. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Rajablarjani HR, Mirshekari B, AghaAlikhani M, Rashidi V, Farahvash F. 2014. Sweet corn weed control and yields in response to sowing date and cropping systems. *HortScience* 49: 289–293.
- Shehata SA, Abozien HF, Abd El-Gawad KF and Elkhawaga FA. 2017. Safe weed management methods as an alternative to synthetic herbicides in potato. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences* 8: 1148–1156.
- Singh P, Singh D, Jaiswal DK, Singh DK and Singh V. 2017. Impact of naphthalene acetic acid and gibberellic acid on growth and yield of Capsicum, *Capsicum annuum* L. cv. Indra under shade net conditions. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 6: 2457–2462.
- Uljol LHO, Bianco S, Filho ABA, Bianco MS and Carvalho LB. 2016. Weed interference on the productivity of bell pepper crops. *Planta Daninha*. Doi: 10.1590/S0100-83582018360100046.
- Verma R. 2014. *Effect of mulching and planting geometry on seed production in bell pepper (Capsicum annuum L.)*. MSc. Thesis. Dr. YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh.