# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



# Enhancing herbicide efficacy with improved spray technology adoption in rice-wheat cropping system

Raminder Kaur Hundal<sup>1\*</sup> and M.S. Bhullar<sup>2</sup>

Received: 18 August 2022 | Revised: 25 May 2023 | Accepted: 28 May 2023

# ABSTRACT

The spraying herbicides with unrecommended spray technology using gun sprayers/knapsack sprayers equipped with single hollow-cone or flat-fan nozzle and lower water volume, has been one of the important factors responsible for the lower efficacy of herbicides in rice-wheat cropping system in Punjab, India. The improved spray technology, involving spraying with tractor operated multi-boom sprayer fitted with flat-fan nozzles, was compared with farmer's practice in rice-wheat system adopting farmers' field in Amritsar County during 2015-16 and 2016-17. In dry-seeded rice, pre-emergence application (PE) of pendimethalin alone and its tank-mix with pyrazosulfuron were used, and in wheat, pendimethalin alone and pre-mix of sulfosulfuron plus metsulfuron-methyl were used for weed management. The major weed flora in dry-seeded rice included: *Dactyloctenium aegyptium, Echinochloa colona, Echinochloa crus-galli, Leptochloa chinensis* and *Digitaria ciliaris* and in wheat, *Phalaris minor* was the dominant weed. The improved spray technology enhanced weed control by 93% in dry-seeded rice and by 95% in wheat, compared to farmer's practice. The study indicated good scope for enhancing herbicides efficacy by the use of appropriate recommended spray technology

Keywords: Direct-seeded rice, Herbicides efficacy, Rice-wheat cropping system, Spray technology

## INTRODUCTION

Rice-wheat cropping system is the most important cereal based cropping system in India. In Indo-Gangetic Plains adoption of rice wheat system is faced with severe competition from several grassy and broad-leaved weeds during crops growth period depending upon the adopted agronomic practices, weed control techniques, soil types and underground water quality. The herbicides use resulted in improved crops yield but the inappropriate use of herbicides is causing herbicide resistance evolution in addition to increasing financial burden on farmers. Due to the use of unrecommended sprayers and spraying methods *i.e.*, gun sprayers equipped with single hollow-cone or flat-fan nozzle and using lower water volume, the adequate volume of herbicides never reaches target weeds resulting in spray loss due to drift of sprayed herbicides (Mohammed et al. 2021). This has been one of the important factors responsible for the lower efficacy of herbicides in rice-wheat cropping system in Indian Punjab. The herbicides viz. clodinafop, sulfosulfuron and fenoxaprop were recommended to control

<sup>1</sup> Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Amritsar, Punjab 143001, India

isoproturon resistant population of *Phalaris minor* which provided effective control of it up to 2007 and improved the productivity of wheat crop. But due to the continuous use of these herbicides resulted to the development of resistance to alternate herbicides too, in *P. minor* (Dhawan *et al.* 2012).

In Punjab, having more than 90 per cent irrigated areas, weed problem is becoming sever due to increased cropping intensity. The yield losses vary from 5% to 100% have been reported in different crops of different areas depending upon the weed density, frequency, type and intensity of competition for growth / yield components (Khaliq et al. 2012). The weed management using hoeing, harrowing and cultivation practices has become difficult in Punjab and farmers are opting for herbicides for weed management as proper weed management at the time of seeding or immediately afterwards, crop plants can make the best use of soil and environmental resources leading to enhanced crop productivity. However, the use of unrecommended spray technology, spraying herbicides with gun sprayers/knapsack sprayers equipped with single hollow-cone or flat fan nozzle and using lower water volume, has been one of the important factors responsible for the lower efficacy of herbicides in rice-wheat cropping system in Punjab, India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Agronomy, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab 141004, India

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author email: raminderkaurhundal@pau.edu

Direct-seeded rice (DSR) in Kharif season become very popular among the farmers (Kumar and Ladha 2011; Rao et al. 2007; 2017) as it has several advantages such as requirement of 35-57% less water and 67% less labour over transplanting rice and have lesser methane emissions (Chauhan et al. 2012 and Singh et al. 2016). As the impounding of water is absent in DSR, weeds emerging along with crop compete and severely affect the rice productivity (Rao et al. 2007). Manual weeding is considered as the best method to control, but it is not economical. In this situation, herbicides play an important role. It is suggested to use sequential application of preemergence herbicides followed by post-emergence herbicides in DSR to effectively manage weeds. However, the efficacy of pre-emergence herbicides can vary with herbicides used and the prevailing climatic conditions (Mahajan and Chauhan 2013). Since, area under DSR is rapidly increasing and weeds are the major constraints, efforts to improve herbicides efficacy under DSR system is essential. Thus, the present study was under taken to identify weed control options, improved spray technologies for enhancing weed control efficacy of herbicides in rice-wheat cropping system to enhance DSR productivity and profitability.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Amritsar with collaboration of Department of Agronomy, PAU, Ludhiana. The recommended improved spray technology, spraying with tractor operated multi-boom sprayer fitted with flat-fan nozzles, was compared with farmer's practice (**Table 1**) at farmers' field in Amritsar County in rice-wheat system during 2015-16 and 2016-17. Twenty-five farmers from five different villages were selected in each season in both years. During these two seasons of study, an area of 10 ha was covered with plot size of 0.4 ha. The most popular paddy variety PR121 and wheat variety HD2967 were used in these demonstrations. In drydirect seeded rice, the standard recommendation of pre-emergence application (PE) of pendimethalin 0.75 kg/ha alone is compared with and its tank-mix with pyrazosulfuron 0.015 kg/ha and farmer's practice (only post-emergence herbicides) (Tables 2 to 5). In wheat, treatments *i.e.*, pendimethalin PE and pendimethalin PE followed by (fb) post-emergence application (PoE) of pre-mix of sulfosulfuron plus metsulfuron-methyl were compared with farmer's practices for controlling weeds. The major weed flora in dry-direct seeded rice included: Dactyloctenium aegyptium, Echinochloa colona, Echinochloa crus-galli, Leptochloa chinensis and Digitaria ciliaris; while in wheat, Phalaris minor was the dominant weed along with Chenopodium album, Medicago polymorpha, Melilotus indica and Rumex dentatus.

Before conducting the trial, a survey was conducted to understand the basic problems of weed control with respect to herbicide resistance in ricewheat cropping system (**Table 1**). 50 farmers were interviewed using a structured questionnaire on different aspects of weed control in DSR and wheat. Later, farmers were selected for conducting demonstrations. Training was imparted to the selected farmers prior to conducting the demonstration. The package of practices used in DSR (**Table 2**) and wheat (**Table 3**) demonstrations and cultural practices currently used by farmers in DSR differed (**Table 2** and **3**). In all the demonstrations, DSR sowing was done with DSR machine and weedicides were sprayed with tractor

| Parameter              | Details                                      | Rice farmers (%)<br>N= 50 | Wheat farmers (% N=50 |  |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Herbicides             | Only pre-emergence herbicides used           | 15                        | 12                    |  |
|                        | Only post-emergence herbicides used          | 20                        | 75                    |  |
|                        | Both pre- and post-emergence herbicides used | 25                        | 13                    |  |
|                        | Herbicide use plus hand weeding              | 40                        | -                     |  |
| Post- emergence        | 20 days after sowing                         | 51                        | -                     |  |
| application time       | 20-30 days after sowing                      | 40                        | -                     |  |
|                        | 30-40 days after sowing                      | 09                        | 55                    |  |
|                        | 40-50 days after sowing                      | -                         | 45                    |  |
| Type of nozzle used    | Flood jet/ cone type                         | 61                        | 47                    |  |
|                        | Flat-fan/cut type                            | 39                        | 63                    |  |
| Volume of spray (l/ha) | 225                                          | 22                        | 25                    |  |
|                        | 300                                          | 34                        | 35                    |  |
|                        | 375                                          | 44                        | 40                    |  |
| Type of spray pump     | Power operated gun sprayer                   | 73                        | 81                    |  |
|                        | Power operated knapsack sprayer              | 27                        | 29                    |  |

Table 1. Herbicides and herbicide spray techniques used, as reported by farmers, in direct-seeded rice and wheat

mounted spray pumps fitted with flat fan nozzles. Also, application of recommended dose of fertilizers at right time and in right method as well as need-based plant protection measures were emphasized and comparison has been made with the existing farmers' practice (Table 2). The data on weed density were recorded by randomly placing 1 m<sup>2</sup> quadrat at two places per plot at sampling time of 30 days after seeding (DAS) in rice and 50 DAS in wheat. The weed density data was subjected to square root transformation before statistical analysis. The original values were given in parentheses. Weed control efficiency was calculated based on weed dry weight (biomass) recorded using standard procedures. The data were analysed by using standard statistical procedures and comparisons were made at 5% level of significance.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Effect on weeds

In dry-direct seeded rice, pendimethalin and tank mix with pyrazosulfuron-ethyl PE sprayed with the improved spray technology resulted in higher weed control efficiency (71.4 and 73.5% in respective two years) as compared to farmer's practice (40.6 and 40.4%). Same trend was observed in wheat where the sequential spray of pendimethalin PE followed by (*fb*) sulfosulfuron plus metsulfuronmethyl with improved spray technology enhanced weed control efficiency as compared to farmer's practice (**Table 4**) indicating the vast scope for enhancing herbicides efficacy with the use of recommended spray technology. Pendimethalin PE usage with improved technology also achieved higher /equal weed control efficiency in both rice and wheat crop when compared to the farmer's practice of using gun sprayers.

# **Effect on Crop**

All the herbicide applications resulted in significantly higher yield as compared to the nontreated plot. The application of pendimethalin PE alone with tractor mounted spray pump resulted in higher yield than farmer's practice in both rice and wheat crop (**Table 4**) confirming findings of Hundal and Dhillon (2018). The sequential application of preand post-emergence herbicide with tractor mounted spray pump resulted in highest yield of both rice and wheat. Thus, with the help of improved, recommended mechanized techniques, farmers realize higher profit with effective herbicides use which may lessen herbicide resistance.

| Table 2. Comparison between cultural | practices used in direct-seeded rice (DSR) in demonstrat | ions and Farmers practice |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                      |                                                          |                           |

| Particulars/cultural practice              | DSR (Demonstration)                                                                                                                   | DSR (Farmer's practice)                                                                                          |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Farming situation                          | Irrigated                                                                                                                             | Irrigated                                                                                                        |
| Soil type                                  | Clay loam                                                                                                                             | Clay loam                                                                                                        |
| Variety                                    | PR 121                                                                                                                                | PR121                                                                                                            |
| Time of sowing                             | 10 to 12th, June                                                                                                                      | 20 <sup>th</sup> May                                                                                             |
| Methods                                    | DSR drill                                                                                                                             | Broadcast                                                                                                        |
| Spacing                                    | 20 cm line to line                                                                                                                    | -                                                                                                                |
| Seed treatment                             | Carbendazim 2g/kg seed                                                                                                                | Carbendazim 2g/kg seed                                                                                           |
| Seed rate                                  | 20 kg/ ha                                                                                                                             | 25 kg/ ha                                                                                                        |
| Fertilizer dose                            | N= 150 kg/ha and 62.5 kg/ha Zinc sulphate                                                                                             | N= 200 kg/ha and 50 kg/ha Zinc sulphate heptahydrate                                                             |
|                                            | heptahydrate (21%). No Phosphorus and Potash was                                                                                      |                                                                                                                  |
|                                            | required as per the soil test report                                                                                                  | No Phosphorus and Potash was required as per the soil test report                                                |
| Fertilizer application time<br>and methods | N fertilizer applied in three equal splits after 2,5 and 9<br>weeks after sowing and full Zn applied after 5<br>weeks                 | O Urea applied in three splits <i>i.e.</i> , after 2,4, 6 weeks after sowing. Zinc applied 4 weeks after sowing. |
| Water management                           | First irrigation was given immediately after sowing<br>and then irrigation given at 10 days interval<br>according to the requirement. | First irrigation was given immediately after sowing<br>and then irrigation given at 4-5 days interval            |
| Weed management Pre-<br>emergence          | Tank mix application of pendimethalin 0.75 kg /ha<br>with pyrazosulfuron-ethyl 0.015 kg/ha                                            | Pendimethalin 0.75 kg/ha sprayed as pre-emergence                                                                |
| Weed management Post<br>emergence          | Bispyribac 0.025 kg /ha 25 days after sowing                                                                                          | Bispyribac 0.025 kg/ha 35days after seeding (DAS) and one hoeing                                                 |
| Type of spray pump used                    | Tractor operated multi-boom sprayer fitted with flat<br>fan nozzles                                                                   | Gun sprayer                                                                                                      |
| Plant protection                           | Application of cartap hydrochloride 0.32 kg /ha to<br>protect from stem borer at active tillering stage                               | Application of cartap hydrochloride 0.32 kg /ha to<br>protect from stem borer at active tillering stage          |
|                                            | One application of propiconazole 0.075 kg /ha for controlling the sheath blight                                                       | One application of propiconazole 0.075 kg /ha for controlling the sheath blight                                  |
| Days taken to maturity                     | Second fortnight of October                                                                                                           | Second fortnight of October                                                                                      |

# **Economics**

The cost of cultivation under DSR was minimum compared to farmers practice (**Table 5**) in spite of usage of similar inputs. Due to higher weed competition the yield was lesser in farmer's practice. Net returns with pendimethalin and its tank mix with pyrazosulfuron-ethyl PE fb bispyribac-sodium PoE were higher due to direct-seeding of rice with seed drill which requires less labour. In this treatment, weeds were controlled effectively with the use of tractor mounted spray pumps. Net return was higher mainly due to lower cost of cultivation and higher return (**Table 5**) due to the spray technology which helped to reduce the cost of cultivation.

Across the locations, all the weed control treatments provided significantly higher returns and B:C over the weedy check. Sequential application of herbicides proved to be best over the sole application of pre-emergence herbicide and farmer's practice. In rice, the pendimethalin and its tank mix with pyrazosulfuron-ethyl PE recorded higher B:C than sole pendimethalin PE alone and farmer's practice confirming findings of Choudhary and Dixit (2018).

In case of wheat crop, pendimethalin PE alone proved better than farmer's practice in terms of net returns and B:C. In general, in rice-wheat cropping system, sequential application of pre- and postemergence herbicide with tractor mounted spray

| Table 3. Comparison | between cultural | l practices used | l in wheat o | lemonstration | s and the wh | eat farmers fields |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|
|                     |                  |                  |              |               |              |                    |

| Particulars                             | Wheat demonstration                                                                                                                                                            | Wheat farmers fields practice                                                                                                          |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Farming situation                       | Irrigated                                                                                                                                                                      | Irrigated                                                                                                                              |
| Soil type                               | Clay loam                                                                                                                                                                      | Clay loam                                                                                                                              |
| Variety                                 | HD 2967                                                                                                                                                                        | HD 2967                                                                                                                                |
| Time of sowing                          | 10 to 15 <sup>th</sup> November                                                                                                                                                | 1-10 <sup>th</sup> Nov                                                                                                                 |
| Methods                                 | Drill                                                                                                                                                                          | Drill                                                                                                                                  |
| Spacing                                 | 20 cm line to line                                                                                                                                                             | 20 cm line to line                                                                                                                     |
| Seed treatment                          | Tebuconazole 130 ml for 100 kg of seed                                                                                                                                         | Tebuconazole 130 ml for 100 kg of seed                                                                                                 |
| Seed rate                               | 100 kg/ ha                                                                                                                                                                     | 100 kg/ ha                                                                                                                             |
| Fertilizer dose                         | 125 kg/ha N and 62.5 kg/ha P and no K required.                                                                                                                                | 125 kg/ha N and 62.5 kg/ha P and no K required.                                                                                        |
| Fertilizer application time and methods | Full dose of DAP applied at the time of sowing and the urea applied in two splits after 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> irrigation                                         | Full dose of DAP applied at the time of sowing and the urea applied in two splits after 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> irrigation |
| Water management                        | Four irrigations were given as per the need                                                                                                                                    | Four irrigations were given                                                                                                            |
| Herbicide - pre-emergence               | Pendimethalin 0.75 kg/ha                                                                                                                                                       | No pre-emergence spray                                                                                                                 |
| Herbicide - post emergence              | Post-emergence spray at 35 DAS of sulfosulfuron plus metsulfuron-methyl 0.03 kg/ha                                                                                             | Tank mix application of clodinafop 0.06 kg/ha and sulfosulfuron 0.024 kg/ha                                                            |
| Type of spray pump used                 | Tractor operated multi-boom sprayer fitted with flat fan nozzles                                                                                                               | Gun sprayer                                                                                                                            |
| Plant protection                        | Application of thiamethoxam 0.0075kg/ha to protect<br>from aphids and jassid at boot stage. One application<br>of propiconazole 0.075 kg/ha for controlling the<br>yellow rust | 1 5 6 11                                                                                                                               |
| Days taken to maturity                  | 2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight of April                                                                                                                                             | 2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight of April                                                                                                     |

#### Table 4. Comparative efficacy of herbicide treatments in direct-seeded rice and wheat

| Treatment                                                                           | Dose (kg/ha)         | Weed density at 30<br>DAS (no./m <sup>2</sup> ) |           | Weed biomass at 30 DAS<br>(g/m <sup>2</sup> ) |             | WCE at 30<br>DAS (%) |      |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------|
|                                                                                     |                      | 2016                                            | 2017      | 2016                                          | 2017        | 2016                 | 2017 |
| Direct-seeded rice                                                                  |                      |                                                 |           |                                               |             |                      |      |
| Pendimethalin_PE                                                                    | 0.75                 | 4.4(19.0)                                       | 4.3(18.0) | 7.3(53.0)                                     | 7.1(49.7)   | 59.5                 | 58.6 |
| Pendimethalin and tank mix with pyrazosulfuron-ethyl PE fb<br>bispyribac-sodium PoE | 0.75 + 0.015 + 0.025 | 3.5(11.7)                                       | 3.8(13.7) | 6.2(37.3)                                     | 5.7(31.7)   | 71.4                 | 73.5 |
| Farmer's practice (pendimethalin PE fb bispyribac- sodium PoE)                      | 0.75 + 0.025         | 6.6(43.0)                                       | 6.4(40.0) | 8.8(77.6)                                     | 8.5(72.0)   | 40.6                 | 40.4 |
| Weed free                                                                           | -                    | 1.9(2.7)                                        | 1.6(1.7)  | 2.6(6.0)                                      | 1.8(2.3)    | 95.3                 | 98.1 |
| Weedy                                                                               | -                    | 8.0(63.3)                                       | 7.8(60.6) | 11.5(131.7)                                   | 11.0(121.3) | 00                   | 00   |
| LSD (p=0.05)                                                                        | -                    | 1.1                                             | 1.0       | 0.8                                           | 0.7         | 7.7                  | 6.59 |
| Wheat                                                                               |                      |                                                 |           |                                               |             |                      |      |
| Pendimethalin PE                                                                    | 0.75                 | 5.5(29.0)                                       | 5.3(27.3) | 8.7(74.7)                                     | 8.4(70.0)   | 41.5                 | 38.1 |
| Pendimethalin PE fb sulfosulfuron plus metsulfuron methyl PoE                       | 0.75 + 0.03          | 4.6(20.3)                                       | 4.5(20.0) | 5.7(32.0)                                     | 5.5(29.3)   | 74.9                 | 74.3 |
| Farmer's practice (tank mix clodinafop and sulfosulfuron -PoE)                      | 0.06 + 0.024         | 6.8(45.0)                                       | 6.5(41.3) | 8.5(72.3)                                     | 7.9(63.0)   | 43.2                 | 44.1 |
| Weed free                                                                           | -                    | 2.1(3.7)                                        | 1.8(2.33) | 3.0(8.3)                                      | 2.7(6.3)    | 93.4                 | 94.4 |
| Weedy                                                                               | -                    | 8.6(73.7)                                       | 8.4(69.7) | 11.3(127.7)                                   | 10.7(113.6) | 00                   | 00   |
| LSD (p=0.05)                                                                        | -                    | 0.9                                             | 1.2       | 0.6                                           | 0.8         | 8.5                  | 11.8 |

LSD-least significant difference at the 5% level of significance; DAS-Days after sowing; Figures in parenthesis are original values subjected of square root transformation; PE-Pre-emergence; PoE- Post emergence; *fb*- Followed by; WCE: Weed control efficiency

| Treatment                                                                    | Dose              | Yield<br>(t/ha)<br>2016 2017 |     | Net returns $(x10^3)/ha$ |        | B:C  |      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|--------|------|------|
|                                                                              | (kg/ha)           |                              |     | 2016                     | 2017   | 2016 | 2017 |
| Direct-seeded rice                                                           |                   |                              |     |                          |        |      |      |
| Pendimethalin_PE                                                             | 0.75              | 6.7                          | 6.8 | 69.41                    | 737.26 | 3.2  | 3.14 |
| Pendimethalin and tank mix with pyrazosulfuron-ethyl PE fb bispyribac-sodium | 0.75+0.015 +0.025 | 7.2                          | 7.3 | 77.14                    | 81.10  | 3.4  | 3.33 |
| Farmer's practice - pendimethalin PE fb bispyribac-sodium - PoE              | 0.75 + 0.025      | 6.5                          | 6.6 | 64.24                    | 67.73  | 2.84 | 2.79 |
| Weed free                                                                    | -                 | 7.4                          | 7.5 | 78.78                    | 84.02  | 3.43 | 3.38 |
| Weedy                                                                        | -                 | 4.5                          | 4.4 | 37.68                    | 37.81  | 2.25 | 2.15 |
| LSD (p=0.05)                                                                 | -                 | 0.6                          | 0.7 | -                        | -      | -    | -    |
| Wheat                                                                        |                   |                              |     |                          |        |      |      |
| Pendimethalin PE                                                             | 0.75              | 4.7                          | 4.8 | 63.78                    | 77.09  | 3.74 | 4.24 |
| Pendimethalin PE) fb sulfosulfuron plus metsulfuron-methyl PoE               | 0.75 + 0.03       | 5.1                          | 5.0 | 70.85                    | 81.35  | 3.85 | 4.27 |
| Farmer's practice - tank mix of clodinafop and sulfosulfuron PoE             | 0.06 + 0.024      | 4.7                          | 4.6 | 60.67                    | 72.39  | 3.26 | 3.69 |
| Weed free                                                                    | -                 | 5.4                          | 5.3 | 75.08                    | 88.85  | 4.02 | 4.57 |
| Weedy                                                                        | -                 | 2.5                          | 2.6 | 24.26                    | 32.11  | 2.1  | 2.46 |
| LSD (p=0.05)                                                                 | -                 | 0.4                          | 0.6 | -                        | -      | -    | -    |

Table 5. Yield and economic returns of herbicide treatments in rice-wheat cropping system

LSD-least significant difference at the 5% level of significance; B:C- Benefit: cost ratio; DAS-Days after sowing; Figures in parenthesis are original values subjected of square root transformation; PE-Pre-emergence; PoE- Post-emergence; *fb*- Followed by

pump proved to be the best option for higher profit and lesser herbicide load.

## Conclusions

It may be concluded that improved agronomic technologies with recommended method of herbicide spray helps farmers to attain higher crops productivity and net returns in rice-wheat cropping system.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors extend their thank to the UPL Limited, Mumbai who sponsored the study.

## REFERENCES

- Chauhan BS, Mahajan G, Sardana V, Timsina J and Jat ML. 2012. Productivity and sustainability of the rice-wheat cropping system in the Indo- Gangetic Plains of the Indian subcontinent: problems, opportunities, and strategies. *Advances in Agronomy* **117**: 315–369.
- Choudhary VK and Dixit A. 2018. Herbicide weed management effect on weed dynamics, crop growth and yield in directseeded rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **50**: 6–12.
- Dhawan RS, Singh N and Singh S. 2012. Littleseed canary grass resistance to sulfonyl-urea herbicides and its possible management with pendimethalin. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **44**: 218–224.

- Hundal RK and Dhillon BS. 2018. Control of *Phalaris minor* with sequential application of pre- and post-emergence herbicides and herbicide combinations in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **50**: 351–354.
- Khaliq A, Matbool A, Ahmed N, Rasul F and Awan IU. 2012. Post emergence chemical weed control in direct seeded fine rice. *Journal of Animal and Plant Science* 22: 1101–1106.
- Kumar V and Ladha JK. 2011. Direct-seeding of rice: recent developments and future research needs. *Advances in Agronomy* **111**: 297–413.
- Mahajan G and Chauhan BS. 2013. Herbicide options for weed control in dry seeded agronomic rice in India. Weed Technology 27: 682–689.
- Mohammed TN, Al-Obadui I AH and Al-Wagaa A H. 2021. Effect of nozzle on efficiency of herbicide on weeds in wheat. *Indian Journal of Ecology* **48**: 156–159.
- Rao AN, Wani SP, Ahmed S, Ali HH and Marambe B. 2017. An Overview of weeds and weed management in rice of south Asia. Weed management in rice in the Asian-Pacific region. pp. 247 to 281. In: Asian-Pacific Weed Science Society (APWSS); The Weed Science Society of Japan, (Eds. Rao AN and Matsumoto H), Japan and Indian Society of Weed Science, India.
- Rao AN, Johnson DE, Sivaprasad B, Ladha JK and Mortimer AM. 2007. Weed management in direct-seeded rice. *Advances in Agronomy*. 93: 155–257.
- Singh V, Jat ML, Ganie Zahoor A, Chauhan BS and Gupta RK. 2016 Herbicide options for effective weed management in dry direct seeded rice under scented rice-wheat rotation of western Indo Gangetic Plains. *Crop Protection* 81: 168– 176.