Bio-efficacy of herbicides applied at the 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds in sugarcane after second interculture

Rohitashav Singh, Radhey Shyam, Amit Bhatnagar, V. K. Singh and Jitendra Kumar

Department of Agronomy, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand) E-mail: rohitash 1961@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during 2006-2008 to find out suitable dose of velper K4 60 WP for the control of weeds in spring planted sugarcane. Weed population and weed dry weight were reduced significantly due to different weed control measures. Cane yield increased significantly with all the measures over weedy check and was highest under weed free conditions (94.3 t/ha) though it was at par with hoeing at 30, 60 and 90 day after transplanting (DAT). Atrazine was the most effective herbicide reducing weed population and weed dry weight followed by Velpar. Weed growth in weedy plot caused 73.5% reduction in cane yield compared to weed free condition. Among herbicidal treatments, maximum cane yield of 82.4 t/ha was obtained in atrazine and no herbicide treatment was at par with this. Due to phytotoxicity reason Velpar K_4 60 WP resulted in lower cane yield, though it effectively controlled the weeds.

Key words : Crop injury, Cane yield, Weed control efficiency.

Sugarcane, being a long duration crop with slow initial growth habit, is severely affected by weed infestation. Various studies have shown that weed competition has resulted in an estimated sugarcane yield loss of 12 to 83% (Sathyavelu et al. 2002, Kanwar et al. 1992). However, the losses depend on the weed intensity and stage of infestation besides the stage of crop. A weed free environment during the germination and tillering phase is important for getting higher yield. This can be achieved by the use of herbicides. Only a few chemicals have proved effective for the control of diverse weed flora in sugarcane. Selection of appropriate herbicide along with accurate dose and time of application is the key to success for controlling weeds. Velper is a ready mix combination of hexazinone (13.2%) and diuron (46.8% WP) which controls Echinochloa colonum, Dactylotenium aegyptium, Trianthema monogyna, Amaranthus virdis, Ipomea spp., Cyperus rotundus, Cyperus esculentus, Setaria spp., Parthenium hysterophorus and Euphorbia hirta in sugarcane. The objective of the study was to evaluate the bio-efficacy and dose of herbicides with special reference to Velpar K₄ 60WP to control weeds in sugarcane.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was conducted during 2006-07 and 2007-08 at the Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre of G.B.P.U.A.&T., Pantnagar to evaluate the bio-efficacy of herbicides along with Velpar K_4 60 WP in sugarcane crop (herbicide marketed by E.I. Dupont India Limited). Experiment consisted of nine treatments *viz.*, three doses

of Velpar K₄ 60 WP at 1000, 1200 and 1400 g/ha, hexazinone 75 DF at 300 g/ha, diuron 80 WP at 1600 g/ha, atrazine at 2000 g/ha, three hoeings at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting (DAP) of sugarcane crop, weed-free and weedy check was laid out in randomized block design with three replications The plot size was 6x8 metre. Velpar, hexazinone and diuron were applied at the 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds after second interculture while atrazine was applied just after first irrigation followed by hoeing. Herbicides were applied by using a Maruti foot sprayer fitted with flat fan nozzle as spray using 600 litres of water per hectare. Recommended package of practices were followed to raise the crop. Observations on weed population and dry weight of weeds were taken at 60 days after execution of treatments. Yield attributes viz., cane length, cane girth and millable cane and cane yield were recorded at harvest. Data pertaining to density of total weeds and dry weight of sedges, grasses and broad leaved weeds were subjected to log transformation by adding 1.0 to original values prior to statistical analysis.

Phytotoxicity study, *viz.*, yellowing, necrosis, epinasty, hyponasty and scorching was also recorded for Velpar at 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30 days after application of this herbicide for other herbicides, phytotoxicity observations were taken but all showed no symptoms as these were applied at the recommended dose..

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on weed

The major weeds found in the weedy check during 2006-07 were *Cyperus rotundus*, *Echinochloa colona*,

| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP Velpar K ₄ 60 WP Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | | | | rotundus | | colona | ıa | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-----------|--------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP Velpar K ₄ 60 WP Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | | | 00 | 2006-2 2007 | 2007-2 2008 2 | 2006- 2 2007 3 | 2007-2 2008 | 2006- 2 2007 2 | 2007- 2 2008 | 2006- 3 2007 | 2007- 2008 | 2006- 3 2007 | 2007- 2008 | 2006- 2007 | 2007- 2008 | 2006- 2007 | 2007- 2008 | 2006- 2007 | 2007- 2008 |
| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | 2-4 leaf | 1000 | 1000 | 88 | 197 | 6 | = | s | 2 | ∞ | ۳ | 4 | s | s | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4.9(128) | 5.5 (236) |
| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | stage of | 1200 | 1200 | 85 | 180 | 5 | 8 | ŝ | 4 | S | 0 | ŝ | e | б | б | S | 7 | 4.7 (109) | 5.3 (205) |
| Uoverinone 75 DF | | | 1400 | 79 | 176 | e | ŝ | 0 | 0 | ε | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | б | 5 | 4.5 (89) | 5.2 (186) |
| LICAALIUUIC / J DF | | 300 | 300 | 96 | 200 | 6 | 12 | ٢ | 8 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 5.0 (150) | 5.5 (253) |
| Diuron 80 WP | | 1600 | 1600 | 91 | 197 | 12 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 4 | S | S | S | S | 8 | 6 | 5.0 (143) | 5.5 (244) |
| | - | | | 4 | 2 | Ξ | ų | ſ | ſ | Ċ | ų | Ċ | ſ | ų | ŗ | ſ | ſ | 1 1 (01) | |
| | | 7 0002 | 7000 | 0 | 96 | 11 | n | - | - | ٧ | n | D | n | n | n | - | - | 4.4 (84) | 4.8 (120) |
| rucings c | ou, ou anu 90 DAP | ı | ı | 24 | 48 | ε | З | 1 | З | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | б | б | 3.6 (36) | 4.1 (58) |
| Weed free | 1 | ı | ı | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) (0) | 0.0 (0) |
| Weedy | ı | ı | ı | 91 | 193 | 32 | 41 | 23 | 23 | 33 | 33 | 48 | 19 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 5.6 (257) | 5.8 (343) |
| LSD (P=0.05) | | · | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | ı | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Treatments | Stage of | of | Dose | | | Sec | Sedges | | | | | Grasses | ses | | | | Broad | Broad leaved weeds | eds |
| | application | ıtion | (g/ha) | | 2006-07 | 200 | 2007-08 | Pooled | ed | 2006-07 | -07 | 2007-08 | 7-08 | P0(| Pooled | 2006-07 | | 2007-08 | Pooled |
| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | | | 1000 | 3.7 | 7(39.8) | | 4.5(89.3) | 4.1(64.6) | 4.6) | 3.7(40.5) |).5) | 3.6(36.7) | 36.7) | 3.7(| 3.7(38.6) | 3.0(20.1) | | 2.8(15.3) | 2.9(17.7) |
| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | | | 1200 | 3.7 | 7(38.5) | | 4.4(81.0) | 4.0(59.8) | 9.8) | 2.9(17.1) | 7.1) | 3.0(18.3) | (8.3) | 2.9(| 2.9(17.7) | 2.3(9.3) | | 2.0(6.7) | 2.2(8.0) |
| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | 2-4 leaf stage | stage | 1400 | 3.6 | 6(35.6) | | 4.4(77.4) | 4.0(56.5) | 5.5) | 1.8(4.9) | (6: | 1.5(3.8) | 3.8) | 1.6(| 1.6(4.4) | 1.4(3.0) | | 1.5(3.3) | 1.4(3.15) |
| Hexazinone 75 DF | of weeds | eds | 300 | 3.8 | 8(43.5) | | 4.5(91.5) | 4.2(67.5) | 7.5) | 3.8(44.6) | 1 .6) | 3.7(39.5) | <u>19.5)</u> | 3.8(| 3.8(42.1) | 3.5(30.8) | | 3.3(26.5) | 3.4(28.7) |
| Diuron 80 WP | | | 1600 | 3.7(| 7(40.5) | 4.5(| 4.5(88.6) | 4.1(64.6) | 4.6) | 3.9(48.9) | (6.8 | 3.6(43.4) | 13.4) | 3.8(| 3.8(46.2) | 3.1(21.7) | | 3.0(17.7) | 3.1(19.7) |
| Atrazine | Id | | 2000 | 2.7 | 7(14.3) | | 3.4(29.7) | 3.1(22.0) | 2.0) | 3.8(45.8) | 5.8) | 2.6(13.2) | 3.2) | 3.2() | 3.2(29.5) | 2.2(8.2) | | 2.4(10.5) | 2.3(9.35) |
| Hoeings | 30, 60 and 90 DAP | and AP | · | Τ. | 1.6(4.1) | 2.1 | 2.1(7.4) | 1.8(5.8) | (8. | 1.9(5.7) | (L. | 1.1(| 1.1(2.1) | 1.5(| 1.5(3.9) | 1.3(2.7) | | 1.0(1.7) | 1.1(2.2) |
| Weed free | 1 | | ı | 0. | 0.0(0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0(0.0) | 0.0(0.0) | (0) | 0.0(0.0) | (0: | 0.00(0.0) | (0.0) | 0.0(| (0.0)0.0 | 0.0(0.0) | | (0.0)0.0 | 0.0(0.0) |
| Weedy | I | | ı | 3.6 | 3.8(42.3) | 4.5(| 4.5(90.2) | 4.1(66.3) | 5.3) | 5.2(182.3) | 2.3) | 5.0(153.7) | 53.7) | 5.1(1 | 5.1(168.0) | 4.3(73.0) | | 4.3(74.6) | 4.3(73.8) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

PI = applied after first irrigation followed by hoeing, DAP=days after planting, Figures in paranthesis denotes original values

Table 1. Effect of herbicides on weed density (n_0/m^2) at 60 days after execution of treatment during 2006-07 and 2007-08

| Treatments | Stage of | Dose | | Cane length (cm) | gth | | Cane girth (cm) | th | Μ | Millable cane (000/ha) | ane | 0 | Cane yield (t/ha) | q |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------|-------------|---------------------|--------|-------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|----------------------|--------|
| | application | (g/ha) | 2006- 07 | 2007- 08 | Pooled | 2006- 07 | 2007- 08 | Pooled | 2006- 07 | 2007- 08 | Pooled | 2006- 07 | 2007- 08 | Pooled |
| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | | 1000 | 201 | 198 | 199.5 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.00 | 73.8 | 70.9 | 72.4 | 57.6 | 54.0 | 55.8 |
| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | 2-4 leaf | 1200 | 206 | 203 | 204.5 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.05 | 75.7 | 71.8 | 73.8 | 62.2 | 57.1 | 59.7 |
| Velpar K ₄ 60 WP | stage of | 1400 | 191 | 186 | 188.5 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.85 | 69.4 | 66.8 | 68.1 | 52.4 | 48.3 | 50.4 |
| Hexazinone 75 DF | weeds | 300 | 197 | 192 | 194.5 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.95 | 71.1 | 68.3 | 69.7 | 54.7 | 50.0 | 52.4 |
| Diuron 80 WP | | 1600 | 200 | 195 | 197.5 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.95 | 72.3 | 70.0 | 71.2 | 55.8 | 53.3 | 54.6 |
| Atrazine | ΡΙ | 2000 | 215 | 217 | 216.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.05 | 104.3 | 105.1 | 104.7 | 81.4 | 83.4 | 82.4 |
| Hoeings | 30, 60 and 90 DAP | ı | 230 | 238 | 234.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.20 | 111.7 | 113.4 | 112.6 | 92.0 | 93.0 | 92.5 |
| Weed free | ı | ı | 235 | 241 | 238.0 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.15 | 114.2 | 115.9 | 115.1 | 93.8 | 94.8 | 94.3 |
| Weedy | · | ı | 174 | 167 | 170.5 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.55 | 44.0 | 41.5 | 42.8 | 26.5 | 23.5 | 25.0 |
| LSD (P=0.05) | ı | ı | 7.9 | 9.4 | 8.7 | NS | NS | NS | 9.6 | 20.3 | 14.9 | 3.3 | 7.2 | 5.3 |

| p |
|-------------------------------|
| /iel |
| G |
| un |
| ü |
| pr |
| al |
| le |
| a |
| , millable cane and cane yiel |
| pl |
| lla |
| ni] |
| |
| th |
| gir |
| cane girth. |
| Ĩ |
| ü |
| h, |
| ಹ |
| en |
| cides on cane length, |
| Ĩ |
| ü |
| no |
| s |
| de |
| :5 |
| ē |
| Iel |
| fł |
| 0 |
| Effect of herb |
| Effec |
| Ŧ |
| 3. |
| e. |

Brachiaria mutica, Digitaria sanguinalis, Parthenium hysterophorus and Ipomoea spp., While C. rotundus, E. colona, B. mutica, Trianthema monogyna, Ipomoea spp. and Caesulia axillaris were the dominant during 2007-08. The other weeds with very low density were T. monogyna, Portulaca oleracea, Eleusine indica and Commelina benghalensis during 2006-07 and Cleome viscosa, Digera arvensis, D. sanguinalis, C. benghalensis and P. hysterophorus during 2007-08.

All the weed control measures caused significant reduction in the density of all the weeds over weedy check during both the years (Table 1). The lowest density of total weeds was reoccurred with hoeing treatment during both the years (36 and 58 weeds/m², respectively). Among the herbicidal treatments, atrazine proved the most effective for the control of weeds in sugarcane crop followed by Velpar at 1200 or 1400 g/ha. Three hoeing at 30, 60 and 90 DAP were found very effective for the control of grasses, broad leaf weeds and sedge during both the years. Application of Velpar at 1200 or 1400 g/ha at the 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds also effectively controlled E. colona, B. mutica and D. sanguinalis during 2006-07 and E. colona, and B. mutica during 2007-08 as compared to other herbicides. This herbicide at these doses was also found better against broad leaved weeds viz., Ipomea spp., T. monogyna and C. axillaries than other herbicides. Application of Velpar at 1400 g/ha, atrazine and three hoeings resulted in complete control of P. hysterophorus during the year 2006-07. Moreover, execution of three hoeings was found the most effective for the control of C. rotundus during both the years. None of the herbicidal treatments was found suitable for the control of C. rotundus except atrazine.

Pooled data on the dry weight of weeds *viz.*, sedges, grasses and broad leaved (Table 2) varied significantly among weed control measures. The lowest dry weight of all the categories of weeds was recorded under hoeing treatments. Application of Velpar at 1400 g/ha also exhibited similar dry weight of grasses and broad leaved weeds with that of three hoeings. Among the herbicidal treatments, atrazine was the most effective for reducing the dry weight of sedge followed by Velpar at 1400 or 1200 g/ha. Velpar at 1400 g/ha was found superior for the reduction of dry weight of grasses and broad leaved weeds followed by Velpar at 1200 g/ha and atrazine.

Effect on yield attributes and cane yield

Pooled data analysis revealed that weed free condition resulted in significantly more cane length (238.0 cm) than other treatments but remained at par with hoeing (234.0 cm) (Table-3). Differences among treatments in relation to cane girth were non-significant, however hoeing treatment recorded numerically maximum value (7.2 cm). Significantly more millable canes were obtained in weed free treatment (115.1 thousand/ha) that remained statistically same with hoeing (112.6 thousand/ha) and atrazine (104.7 thousand/ha). Comparison among different doses of Velpar for cane length and millable canes exhibited that application at 1200/ha was superior to 1000 and 1400 g/ha. These results corroborate with the findings of Srivastava (2001).

It was observed that uncontrolled weeds growth in weedy plot caused 73.5% reduction in cane yield compared to weed free condition (Table 3). Significantly higher cane yield (94.3 t/ha) was recorded under weed free treatment which was statistically at par with hoeing and was significantly superior to all the herbicide treatments. This is in conformity with the results of Rana and Singh (2004). Application of Velpar at 1200 g/ha at the 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds recorded 59.7 t/ha cane yield, which was statistically at par at with (50.4 t/ha) Velpar at 1000 g/ha (55.8 t/ha) and diuron (54.6 t/ha) significantly higher than Velpar at 1400 g/ha (50.4 t/ha) and hexazinone (52.4 t/ha).

Phytotoxicity

Velpar at 1200 g/ha showed light yellowing of sugarcane plants and tip burning of (contact) leaves at 5^{th} day after herbicide application, which was recovered within 20-25 days. Curling of new leaf, yellowing of plants, burning of contact leaves and reduction in growth of sugarcane were noted with the application of Velpar at 1400 g/ha, which caused reduction in number of millable canes and ultimately cane yield.

On the basis of two years bio-efficacy study, it can be concluded that among the herbicides, atrazine at 2000 g/ha applied after first irrigation followed by hoeing was found most effective for the control of weeds. Among different rates of Velpar, 1200 g/ha could be the standard dose for post emergence application at the 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds in sugarcane crop to achieve effective control of both grassy as well as broad leaved weeds with higher cane yield.

REFERENCES

- Kanwar RS, Singh Sarjit, Sodhi RS and Garcha AIS. 1992. Comparative performance of different herbicide combination for weed control in sugarcane. *Indian Sug.* **42** (8): 621-625.
- Rana NS and Singh D. 2004. Studies on integrated weed management in spring planted sugarcane under tarai conditions of Uttaranchal. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 36(1&2):89-92
- Sathyavelu A, Somasundaram E, Poonguzhalan R and Rangaraj T. 2002. Integrated weed management in sugarcane. *Indian* Sug. 51 (12): 871-873.
- Srivastava TK. 2001. Efficacy of certain new herbicides in spring planted sugarcane. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* **33** (1&2): 56-58.