Grass Weed Control in Wheat by Clodinafop Applied with and without Surfactant

R. S. Malik, R. S. Balyan, R. K. Malik and S. K. Pahwa Department of Agronomy

CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125 004 (Haryana), India

ABSTRACT

Weed control efficacy of clodinafop applied at varying doses with surfactant and without surfactant was evaluated in wheat. With the increase in the doses of clodinafop from 40 to 50 or 60 g ha⁻¹, there was a gradual decline in the population and dry matter accumulation of grassy weeds. Addition of surfactant (0.25 or 0.5 or 1.0%) enhanced the grass weed control efficacy of clodinafop. However, no significant difference was observed in clodinafop efficacy with different surfactant concentrations. Clodinafop did not provide any control of broad leaf weeds. Maximum wheat yields of 4891 and 5163 kg ha⁻¹ were observed in season long free situations followed closely by diclofop-methyl 700 g, clodinafop 60 and 50 g with surfactant applied after first irrigation.

INTRODUCTION

In wheat bowl of India, particularly in ricewheat rotation, the infestation of wild canary grass (Phalaris minor) had acquired alarming proportions. The other weed emerging at faster rate in this sequence is jangli palak (Rumex retroflex). While in south-western Haryana in crop rotation like cotton-wheat, bajra-wheat, fallow-wheat, pulsewheat, the major weed is wild oat (Avena ludoviciana) followed by lambsquarter (Chenopodium album). Depending upon the type and density of weeds, season long competition from weeds caused grain yield reduction from 25 to 60% or sometimes more (Malik et al., 1989). Isoproturon, metoxuron and diclofop-methyl are grass killer and 2, 4-D and metsulfuron-methyl are effective broadleaf weed killin in wheat. Continuous use of isoproturon more than 10 years to minimize grass weeds in rice-wheat sequence leads to development of resistance in P. minor (Malik and Singh, 1993).

To combat isoproturon resistant *P. minor*, a few new herbicides i. e. clodinafop, fenoxaprop, sulfosulfuron and tralkoxydim were found quite effective (Malik *et al.*, 2001). These new herbicides though reported quite effective against major grass

weeds of wheat, but are quite costly. In this study, efforts have been made to economize the application dose of clodinafop by applying it in tank mix with surfactant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field investigation was conducted during the rabi seasons of 2000-01 and 2001-02 at the Research Farm of Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, medium in organic carbon (0.38%) with pH 8.1. Wheat variety PBW 343 was drilled with a bed planter on raised beds at 100 kg seed ha⁻¹ on November 21 and 17 in 2000-01 and 2001-02, respectively, in a plot size of 6.0 x 2.1 m. Recommended dose of fertilizer and irrigations were applied uniformly to all plots as recommended in package of practices. Experiment with 15 treatments replicated three times was laid in a randomized block design (Tables 1 and 2). Three concentrations of surfactant (Triton AE, non-ionic) 0.25, 0.5 and 1.0% were used with clodinafop applied after first irrigation. Herbicides were applied with the help of a knapsack sprayer using 5001 water ha⁻¹ at 30 days after sowing (DAS) during both the seasons. Visual scores of

(g ha ⁻¹) application Grassy weeds P 40 BFI 60 60 P 50 BFI 70 70 P 60 AFI 75 75 P 60 AFI 70 75 P 60 AFI 70 70 P 40+0.5% AFI 75 75 P 40+1.0% AFI 75 75 P 50+0.5% AFI 75 75 P 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 P 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 P+S 50+1.0% AFI 85 90 nethyl 700 AFI 85 90	Current mondo					
p 40 BFI 60 60 p 50 BFI 70 70 p 60 BFI 70 70 p 40 AFI 70 75 p 60 AFI 80 85 p 60 AFI 70 70 p 40+0.5% AFI 70 70 p 40+1.0% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 85 90	Olassy weeks	Broad leaf weeds	Grass)	Grassy weeds	Broad le	Broad leaf weeds
p 40 BFI 60 60 p 50 BFI 70 70 p 60 BFI 70 70 p 60 BFI 70 70 p 60 BFI 70 70 p 40 AFI 70 75 p 60 AFI 80 85 p 60 AFI 70 70 p 40+0.25% AFI 70 70 p 40+1.0% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p 700 AFI 80 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 <	2001-02	000-01 2001-02	2000-01	2001-02	2000-01	2001-02
p 50 BFI 70 70 p 60 BFI 75 75 p 40 AFI 70 75 p 50 AFI 70 75 p 50 AFI 70 75 p 60 AFI 70 75 p 60 AFI 80 85 p 40+0.25% AFI 70 70 p 40+1.0% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p 70 AFI 80 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p 70 AFI 80 80 p 70 AFI 80 80 p 70 70 AFI 80 p 700 AFI 80 p </td <td></td> <td>0 0</td> <td>39</td> <td>36</td> <td>58</td> <td>50</td>		0 0	39	36	58	50
p 60 BFI 75 75 p 40 AFI 70 75 p 50 AFI 70 75 p 60 AFI 70 75 p 60 AFI 80 85 p 60 AFI 70 70 p 40+0.25% AFI 70 70 p 40+1.0% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 p 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 p 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p 700 AFI 80 80 p 700 AFI 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 80 90		0 0	24	21	62	42
p 40 AFI 70 75 p 50 AFI 80 85 p 60 AFI 80 85 p+S 40+0.25% AFI 70 70 p+S 40+0.5% AFI 70 70 p+S 40+0.5% AFI 75 75 p+S 40+1.0% AFI 75 80 p+S 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 p+S 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 p+S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p+S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 p+S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 80 80		0 0	13	13	54	46
p 50 AFI 80 85 p 60 AFI 80 85 +S 40+0.25% AFI 70 70 +S 40+0.5% AFI 75 75 +S 40+1.0% AFI 75 75 +S 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 +S 50+0.5% AFI 75 80 +S 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 +S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 +S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 +S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 80 80	70 75	0 0	28	22	64	48
p 60 AFI 85 85 +S 40+0.25% AFI 70 70 70 +S 40+0.5% AFI 75 75 75 +S 40+0.5% AFI 75 75 75 +S 40+1.0% AFI 75 80 80 +S 50+0.25% AFI 80 80 80 +S 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 80 +S 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 80 +S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 80 +S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 85 90 90		0 0	12	6	56	45
+5 40+0.25% AFI 70 70 +5 40+0.5% AFI 75 75 +5 40+1.0% AFI 75 80 +5 50+0.25% AFI 80 80 +5 50+0.25% AFI 80 80 +5 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 +5 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 +5 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 +5 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 85 90	85 85	0 0.	6	×	60	42
+5 40+0.5% AFI 75 75 75 +5 40+1.0% AFI 75 80 +5 50+0.25% AFI 80 80 +5 50+0.25% AFI 80 80 +5 50+0.25% AFI 80 80 +5 50+0.0% AFI 80 80 +5 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 85 90	70 70	0 0	26	20	56	46
+5 40+1.0% AFI 75 80 +S 50+0.25% AFI 80 80 +S 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 +S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 methyl 700 AFI 80 80	75 75	0 0	24	20	60	47
+5 50+0.25% AFI 80 80 +5 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 +5 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 85 90	75 80	0 0	24	18	52	44
+S 50+0.5% AFI 80 80 +S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 85 90		0 0	12	6	52	42
+S 50+1.0% AFI 80 80 nethyl 700 AFI 85 90 0 0		0 0	10	. 7	56	50
nethyl 700 AFI 85 90 0 0		0 0	11	7	52	48
0 0	85 90	0 0	٢	S	64	46
	0 0	0 0	112	96	60	47
100	100 100	00 100	0	0	0	0
LSD (P=0.05) 9.0 7.5			4.5	6.1	6.0	9.1

Table 1. Visual toxicity and population of weeds (75 DAS) as affected by herbicide treatments

Herbicide	Dose	Time of		Dry weig	Dry weight of weeds (g ha ⁻¹)	(1-ind)	Spikes m ⁻²	m ⁻²	Grain yiel	Grain yield (kg ha- ⁱ)
	(g ha ⁻¹)	application	Grass)	Grassy weeds	Broad le	Broad leaf weeds	2000-01	2001-02	2000-01	2001-02
			2000-01	2001-02	2000-01	2001-02				
Clodinafop	40	BFI	50.7	40.3	54.8	42.5	252	260	3371	3556
Clodinafop	50	BFI	39.9	30.2	52.5	39.9	260	272	3568	3765
Clodinafop	60	BFI	31.4	25.2	53.9	38.2	272	286	3923	4139
Clodinafop	40	AFI	37.7	27.5	50.8	39.5	277	292	3960	4180
Clodinafop	50	AFI	28.4	20.3	52.4	38.0	289	306	4288	4528
Clodinafop	60	AFI	19.4	15.7	48.9	40.0	300	315	4522	4776
Clodinafop+S	40+0.25%	AFI	36.5	27.9	56.6	47.1	280	297	4074	4302
Clodinafop+S	40+0.5%		32.0	24.7	59.0	44.4	286	306	4213	4449
Clodinafop+S	40+1.0%		34.4	22.4	53.4	45.5	292	309	4176	4476
Clodinafop+S	50+0.25%	AFI	27.9	24.5	52.6	46.1	295	312	4298	4538
Clodinafop+S	50+0.5%		26.1	26.0	54.7	41.4	297	317	4266	4505
Clodinafop+S	50+1.0%		24.7	25.5	57.2	43.4	303	323	4317	4557
Diclofop-methyl	700	AFI	18.3	10.1	54.3	41.9	315	337	4555	4809
Weedy	ı	,	119.4	100.7	56.9	45.6	226	240	2918	3112
Weed-free	,	'	0	0	0	0	352	363	4891	5163
LSD (P=0.05)			5.1	13.5	2.5	8.5	20.6	20.9	326.6	328.7

.

Table 2. Dry weight of weeds (120 DAS) and yield of wheat as influenced by herbicide treatments

per cent weed control were done at 75 DAS on the basis of 100 scale (where, 0=no control and 100=complete control). Weed population and dry weight of grasses as well as broadleaf weeds were recorded at 75 and 120 DAS with the help of a 50 x 50 cm quadrate from three random places in a plot.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on Weeds

The experimental field was infested with Avena ludoviciana, Phalaris minor, Chenopodium album, Rumex retroflex, Anagallis arvensis and Convolvulus arvensis. However, grassy weeds were dominant during both the years.

Per cent control of clodinafop-propargyl on grass weeds increased with the increase in the dose, maximum being at 60 g ha⁻¹ (Table 1). Likewise addition of surfactant at varying concentrations increased the grass control efficacy of clodinafoppropargyl; this was particularly true at lower dose (40 g ha⁻¹). Clodinafop-propargyl at all the doses failed to control any of the broad leaf weeds (Table 1). Therefore, with the increase in the dose of clodinafop, irrespective of stages of application, the population and dry matter of grassy weeds decreased gradually. Likewise addition of surfactant with clodinafop too helped to reduce the population and dry weight of grass weeds compared to clodinafop application alone (Tables 1 and 2).

Lower grassy weeds population and dry matter accumulation with increased dose of clodinafop were owing to increased toxicity on grass weeds. Addition of surfactant at 0.25, 0.5 and 1.0% concentration with clodinafop helped to increase the retention, penetration and finally toxicity of clodinafop on grass weeds. These findings are in confirmation with the earlier findings of Malik *et al.* (1989) and Malik *et al.* (2001) who concluded that addition of surfactant increased the grass weeds control efficacy of fenoxaprop, chlorsulfuron, tralkoxydim and isoproturon.

Effect on Crop

Maximum and minumum grain yield of wheat were recorded in season long weed-free and unweeded situations, respectively (Table 2). Clodinafop at 60 g ha⁻¹ provided more or less similar wheat yield when compared with diclofop-methyl applied at 700 g ha⁻¹. Like number of spike and grain yield of wheat too increased gradually with the increase in clodinafop dose, irrespective of its application times (Table 2). Addition of surfactant at 0.25 to 1.0% with clodinafop significantly improved the crop yield compared to its application without surfactant, particularly at lower dose (40 g ha⁻¹).

Higher and lower crop yield under different weed management treatments were owing to excellent and poor control of dominant grass weeds, respectively (Table 1). Secondly, it was because of good and poor crop growth, higher and lower effective wheat tillers during both the seasons. Significantly lower crop yield under different clodinafop treatments applied alone or with surfactant even after providing satisfactorily control of grass weeds was because of no control of broad leaf weeds, which competed throughout crop growth.

REFERENCES

- Malik, R. K. and Samunder Singh, 1993. Evolving strategies for herbicide use in wheat. Resistance and integrated weed management. Proc. International Symposium on Integrated Weed Management for Sustainable Agriculture held at CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India during 18-20 November 1993.
- Malik, R. S., R. K. Malik, R. S. Panwar and V. M. Bhan, 1989. The influence of surfactant on the performance of some herbicides applied under complex weed flora situations in wheat. *Indian J. Agron.* 34 : 14-17.
- Malik, R. S., R. S. Balyan, R. K. Malik and R. S. Banga, 2001. Efficacy of new herbicides with and without surfactants on weeds in wheat. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 33 : 59-62.