# Effect of integrated weed management practices on sugarcane ration and associated weeds

## Rohitashav Singh\*, Jitendra Kumar, Pravendra Kumar, Tej Pratap, V.K. Singh, Ram Pal and Suman Panwar

Department of Agronomy, G.B.P.U.A. & T., Pantnagar, Uttarakhand 263 154

Received: 25 August 2012; Revised: 14 October 2012

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during 2008-09 and 2009-10 at G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand). The soil of the experimental field was clay loam texture, medium in organic carbon (0.66%), available phosphorus (27.5 kg P/ha) and potassium (243.5 kg K/ha) with pH 7.2. Experiment consisted of six treatments were laid out in randomized block design with four replications. In the experimental field *Cyperus rotundus, Ehinochloa colona, Brachiaria reptans, Commelina benghalensis, Ipomoea* spp. and *Parthenium hysterophorus* were major weeds in both the years. Beside these, *Digitaria sanguinalis* was also observed as major weed during 2009-10. Other weeds were *Cleome viscosa, Corchorus acutangulus, Dactyloctenium aegyptium* and *phylanthus niruri*. Lowest density as well as dry weight of total weeds were recorded under the treatment of three hoeing at 30, 60 and 90 days after harvesting (DAH) of main crop which was at par with per-emergence application of metribuzin 0.88 kg/ha followed by (*fb*) hoeing at 45 DAH *fb* 2,4-D 1.0 kg/ha at 90 DAH. The highest cane yield was recorded with the execution of three hoeings at 30, 60 and 90 DAH treatment which was closely *fb* metribuzin at 0.88 kg/ha at 3 DAH *fb* hoeing at 45 DAH *fb* 2,4-D 1.0 kg/ha at 90 DAH of main crop.

**Key words:** Chemical control, Integrated weed management, Sugarcane ratoon

Sugarcane crop faces tough competition with weeds between 60 to 120 days of its planting which causes heavy reduction in cane yield ranging from 40-67% (Chauhan and Srivastava 2002). Sugarcane ratoon occupies about 50% of total sugarcane area, though its productivity is 45 t/ha against 70 t/ha productivity of main planted crop. This low productivity is mainly due to heavy weed infestation (Srivastava et al. 2002). Widely spaced crop of sugarcane allows wide range of weed flora to grow profusely in the interspaces between the rows. Frequent irrigations and fertilizer application during early growth stages, increase the weeds menace by many folds in the crop (Singh el al. 2008). It is well-established that cultural method of weed management is most effective to control weeds but timely availability of agricultural labours is a problem. Herbicidal control of weeds has been suggested to be economical in sugarcane (Chauhan el al. 1994). Several herbicides have, however, been tried in sugarcane ration with varying degree of success but the information on the combined use of chemical and cultural practices are scarce. The present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of different integrated weed control practices on the management of weeds in sugarcane ratoon crop.

\*Corresponding author: rohitash\_1961@rediffmail.com

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during 2008-09 and 2009-10 at Norman E. Borlaug, Crop Research Centre, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand). The soil of experimental field was clay loam in texture, medium in organic carbon (0.66 %), available phosphorus (27.5 kg P/ha) and potassium (243.5 kg K/ha) with pH 7.2. Experiment consisted of six treatments, viz. atrazine 2.0 kg/ha at 3 days after harvesting (DAH), atrazine 2.0 kg/ha at 3 DAH followed by (fb) 2,4-D 1.0 l/ha at 90 DAH, 2,4-D 1.0 l/ha (90 DAH), metribuzin 0.88 kg/ha at 3 DAH fb hoeing at 45 DAH fb 2,4-D 1.0 l/ha at 90 DAH, hand weeding at 30, 60 and 90 DAH with weedy check (Table 1) were laid out in randomized block design with four replications. Three budded setts of sugarcane variety 'Co. Pant 90223' was harvested on March 30, 2008 and March 01, 2009. Herbicides as per treatments were applied as spray using 600 litres of water per hectare. The crop was harvested on February 11, 2009 during first year and March 05, 2010 during second year, respectively. Data pertaining to density and dry matter accumulation by weeds were subjected to log transformation by adding 1.0 to original values prior to statistical analysis.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the experimental field, Cyperus rotundus Echinochloa colona, Brachiaria reptans, Commelina benghalensis, Ipomoea spp. and Parthenium hysterophorus were major weeds in both the years. Beside these, Digitaria sanguinalis was also observed as major weed during 2009-10. Other weeds with very low density were Cleome viscosa, Corchorus acutangulus, Dactyloctenium aegypticum and Phylanthus niruri. All the weed control measures led to significant reduction in density and dry matter accumulation by total weeds during both the years (Table 1). Lowest density (Table 1) as well as dry weight (Table 2) of total weeds were recorded under the treat-

ment of three hoeing at 30, 60 and 90 DAH of main crop which was at par with pre-emergence application of metribuzin at 0.88 kg/ha at 3 DAH fb hoeing at 45 DAH fb 2,4-D 1.0 kg/ha at 90 DAH. Application of atrazine 2.0 kg/ha at 3 DAH fb 2,4-D 1.0 kg/ha at 90 DAH recorded significantly lower density and dry weight of total weeds than alone application of 2,4-D 1.0 kg/ha at 90 DAH and atrazine 2.0 kg/ha at 3 DAH.

On an average, presence of total weeds throughout the crop period caused 55.94% reduction in the ration cane yield when compared with the execution of three hoeing given at 30, 60 and 90 DAH stages (Table 2). The highest

Table 1. Effect of weed management on weed density at 120 days after harvesting (DAH) of main crop in sugarcane ration during 2008-09 and 2009-10

	Dose (kg/ha)	Application stage (DAH)		Weed density (no./m²)														
Treatment			C. rotundus		E. colona		B. raptens		D. sanguinalis		C. benghalensi		Ipomoea spp.		P.hystero phorus		Total	
			I	II	I	II	I	II	I	II	I	II	I	II	I	П	I	II
Atrazine	2.0	3	3.72 (42)		2.45	1.90	2.26 (10)	1.71 (8)	0.0 (0)	2.15	2.51 (13)		2.35 (11)	1.95 (10)	2.15	2.51 (13)	4.64 (104)	4.56 (98)
Atrazine fb 2,4-D	2.0fb	3 fb 90	3.22	2.82			2.06	1.75	0.0	2.20	1.10	0.95	0.0	0.40	0.0	0.40	4.02	4.02
2,4-D	1.0 1.0	90	(25) 3.29 (27)	3.33	(14) 3.25 (28)	(9) 3.37 (31)	(8) 3.08 (22)	(8) 2.68 (19)	(0) 0.0 (0)	(10) 2.59 (15)	(4) 1.50 (5)	(9) 1.35 (4)	(0) 0.40 (1)	(10) 0.80 (2)	(0) 0.0 (0)	(1) 0.95 (3)	(55) 4.47 (88)	(55) 4.69 (110)
Metribuzin fb		3 fb 45 fb	3.00	2.37	2.00	1.45	1.59	1.04	0.0	1.45	0.95	0.55	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.63	3.40
hoeing fb 2,4-D Hand weeding	1.0	90 30, 60 and		ì.90		(5) 0.95	0.80	0.80	0.0				(0) 0.95	(0) 0.80	0.0	0.0	(39)	(30) 2.52
Weedy check	-	90	3.68	(09)		(3) 3.41	(2)	(2)	0.0				(3) 2.53	(2) 2.46	(0) 2.51	(0) 2.79	(23) 4.98	(21) 5.08
LSD (P= 0.05)	-	-	(40) 0.55	(29) 1.09	(30) 0.77	(33) 1.59	(20) 1.13	(21) 1.74	(0)	(18) 1.34	(16) 1.30	(12) 1.14	(15) 0.97	(13) 1.14	(13) 0.53	(17) 0.82	(149) 0.53	(163) 1.05

I- Year 2008-09, II - Year 2009-10, DAH- Days after harvesting, fb - Followed by, Original values are given in parentheses

Table 2. Effect of weed management on weed dry weight, yield attributing characters and cane yield in sugarcane ration during 2008-09 and 2009-10

Treatment	Dose (kg/ha)	rr · · · ·		Weed dry weight (g/m²) at 120 DAH		ength n)	Cane girth (cm)		Millable cane (,000/ha)		Cane yield (t/ha)	
			2008- 2009	2009- 2010	2008- 2009	2009- 2010	2008- 2009	2009- 2010	2008- 2009	2009- 2010	2008- 2009	2009- 2010
Atrazine	2.0	3	195	148	161	153	6.5	6.7	128	125	58	53
Atrazine fb 2,4-D	2.0 fb 1.0	3 fb 90	107	86	165	157	6.6	6.7	145	137	65	61
2,4-D	1.0	90	188	154	156	150	6.4	6.6	105	100	55	48
Metribuzin fb hoeing fb 2,4-D	0.88 fb 1.0	3 fb 45 fb 90	52	43	168	160	6.6	6.7	163	153	72	70
Hand weeding	-	30, 60 and 90	22	21	170	164	6.7	6.9	168	157	76	74
Weedy check	-	-	299	234	154	147	5.9	6.4	80	73	32	34
LSD (P= 0.05)	-	-	15.1	24.7	NS	4.1	NS	NS	10.2	14.1	39	47

ratoon cane yield was obtained with the execution of three hoeing at 30, 60 and 90 DAH which was closely followed by pre-emergence application of metribuzin 0.88 kg/ha at 3 DAH followed by hoeing at 45 DAH followed by 2,4-D 1.0 kg/ha at 90 DAH of main crop. The higher cane yield under these treatments was due to higher value of cane length and millable cane per hectare.

It was concluded that application of metribuzin at 0.88 kg/ha at 3 DAH followed by hoeing at 45 DAH followed by 2,4-D at 1.0 kg/ha at 90 DAH of main crop was found most effective treatment for control of weeds in sugarcane ration.

### **REFERENCES**

- Chauhan RS, Singh GB and Srivastava SN. 1994. Herbicidal control of weeds in spring planted sugarcane. *Bharatiya Sugar* **20**: 11–12.
- Srivastava TK, Singh AK and Srivastava SN. 2002. Critical period of crop-weed competition in sugarcane ration. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **34:** 320–321.
- Singh R, Shyam R, Tripathi SS and Kumar S. 2008. Integrated weed management studies in spring planted sugarcane. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **40**(1&2): 85–87.
- Shauhan RS and Srivastava SN. 2002. Influence of weed management practises on weed growth and yield of sugarcane. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **34**(3&4) 318–319.